https://www.eejournals.org/
Open Access

EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (EEJHSS) ISSN: 2992-4111

Exploring the Intersection of Social Justice and Ethical Communication in Law

Mutoni Uwase N.

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the intersection of social justice and ethical communication within the legal system, emphasizing their mutual influence and importance in fostering fair legal outcomes. Social justice is examined as both a foundational principle of law and a dynamic concept that incorporates fairness, equity, and respect for human dignity. Ethical communication, central to legal processes, plays a critical role in maintaining trust, clarity, and transparency among stakeholders. The review investigates historical perspectives, principles of ethical communication, and contemporary challenges, highlighting the nuances of equity versus equality and their implications for legal practice. Case studies emphasize the significance of culturally informed communication strategies and their role in achieving meaningful social justice outcomes. The paper concludes with recommendations for integrating ethical communication into legal education and practice, emphasizing its potential to promote a more just and inclusive legal system.

Keywords: Social justice, ethical communication, legal ethics, equity, equality, legal education.

INTRODUCTION

Social justice is a legal concept requiring that benefits and burdens are distributed among society's members in ways that are fair and impartial. Ethical communication plays a critical role in the maintenance of every social system, including systems of law, by determining the legitimacy and efficacy of such systems. As such, ethical communication can have a direct and powerful influence on social justice outcomes. Despite the centrality of the ethics of communication in the practice of law, legal scholars rarely explore the intersection of communication, legal, and social justice theory. Our analysis is, indeed, a contribution to such an exploration. By doing so, it contributes to furthering understanding of the role of qualitative methods in empirical legal inquiry. In many ways, the two questions are typically asked together, or one as an extension of the other. This is unsurprising, given the close relationship between law and ethics, which mirrors the close relationships between ethics and a range of other domains. From a pragmatic perspective, the relationship between law and ethics allows us to critique the law if there is an ethical problem and vice versa. Moreover, many people have an intrinsic interest in the functioning of law and

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

morality, resulting in significant intellectual and practical interest. More generally, this question also promises critical, real-world answers, potentially even leading to improved ethics in particular forms of legal practice. Hence, the questions are often intertwined, and the questions themselves implicitly demand an assumption of that entwinedness, or at least a recognition that those conceptions are relevant to each other [1, 2].

Defining Social Justice in The Legal Context

Social justice can be defined as the distribution of advantages and disadvantages among a population. In the legal context, this definition should be expanded. Social justice can also be recognized in our laws, policies, and standards when we uphold the principles of fairness, equality, and respect for human dignity in regulating human behavior and making decisions about the public good. Social justice issues are often contested. Some believe that concepts of fairness and equality support continued inequities unless they also recognize and respond to the historical, cultural, and individual differences in human capacities and contributions. This position posits that support for the principle of equity may best respond to the call for leveling the playing field [3, 4]. Equity vs. Equality Laws around the world can be divided into two categories: legal frameworks that maintain the status quo and those that attempt to correct it. This status quo can be characterized by both inequity and inequality. While the terms are often used interchangeably, there is a salient difference between them. Equity is grounded in the principles of fairness and justice. This approach corrects imbalances to ensure that everyone has access to the same opportunities. By comparison, equality refers to the even distribution—a sameness—of resources. When legal systems operate according to a principle of equality, they disregard the needs of those who are disadvantaged by, for example, racism, homophobia, ableism, or any other form of institutionalized discrimination. Thus, they secure unfair privileges for those who hold power [5, 6].

Importance of Ethical Communication in Law

Ethical communication is necessary for legal processes, and practicing ethically encourages reciprocal trust among professional lawyers and those of us who aspire to be. A professional lawyer knows that speaking with integrity and honesty fosters our clients' trust in what is communicated. Communication, after all, is a bridge between people. When that bridge is repaired instead of broken down, communication achieves unity and fosters collaboration and harmony instead of creating and perpetuating contention and conflicts. In turn, authentic communication enhances social justice. Transparency and clarity in communication and documentation can help to clarify misunderstandings and misrepresentations that often happen with oral communication and can contribute to marginalization. Even when it is hard to fathom, we need to hear that things are going to get worse and get prepared [7, 8]. We may or may not like what we hear, but honesty is the best way to help us prepare for the meaningful necessity that permits survival in a more just and suitable manner. Ethical communication is not an option for counselors and advocates of social justice. Therefore, listening even when it is hard to hear what is being said is a necessity. Think of what could happen when a pro bono lawyer fails to listen adequately. Their clients could be further marginalized and denied basic human rights. Ethical communication is expected regardless of whether the audience is the client, the client's family, community members, court actors, and practitioners in fields such as psychology, social work, and justice studies. Thus, the stance taken apart from the surface of the superficial stance available to people must be assumed as much as possible, and communicative acts must be engaged skillfully and conscientiously to encourage equality and social justice [9, 10].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Historical Perspectives on Social Justice in The Legal System

The quest for social justice in a legal system is a laudable goal. Historically, significant periods have been devoted to advancing social justice in the legal system. The Reconstruction era following the Civil War and the subsequent Civil Rights movement in the 1950s and 1960s are two pivotal moments in history illustrating the fight for social justice. In these moments, the issues of poverty and discrimination reached a level that could not be ignored. If we trace the legal path working directly with issues of social justice, it becomes clear that this is no easy or fast task. Legal history reveals that achieving social justice is a complex process of numerous victories and losses, expansions and limitations. At times, each one of these eras is a product of the one preceding it yet provides a social movement that leads to legal reform. Nevertheless, it should be noted that sometimes legal changes do not result from social movements, but from societal changes. This part of legal development is problematic and effectively demonstrates how law is used to promote particular interests and not formulated around issues of social justice. In these cases, legal rationalizations and theories are developed to support the status quo. Religious teachings promote fear; fear results in pauperization to maintain the existing power structure, and pauperization requires a legal system to allow the privileged to maintain their entitlements [11, 12].

Ethical Principles in Legal Communication

At its core, the legal profession is focused on communication and persuasion. The broad importance of effective communication brings with it ethical considerations. Most legal professionals understand that confidentiality, honesty, and respect guide communication with clients, the courts, and the public. Yet breaches in ethical principles are not uncommon, and legal practitioners continue to be disciplined and sanctioned for communicative wrongs. Legal professionals must be concerned about respecting and protecting the rights of their clients. They also must respect the adversarial legal process by advocating for their clients, the law firms for which they work, and the broader legal system as officers of the court. To succeed in their roles as advocates and, more generally, to function effectively in the legal system, legal professionals must possess the trust of clients, the public, and other legal professionals. Ethical obligations, like the mandate to preserve a client's confidence, are an essential component of securing this trust [13, 14]. Professional codes of conduct underscore the importance of these ethical obligations, distinguishing legal practitioners as officers of the court who are charged with the need to maintain and enhance the respect of the legal system. Legal industry models of communication and advocacy revolve around the concept of agency. Assuming an attorney's primary duty of agency advocate for a client's cause within the bounds of the law. Yet, principles of ethical communication also place limits on the extent to which an attorney can persuade. Attorneys are restricted from using false evidence and must correct false statements made in court. They are also prohibited from communicating certain false statements outside of court, such as making materially false statements in negotiation or breaching client confidentiality. Court, third-party, and cultural norms further impose general ethical limits on litigation, negotiation, mediation, and arbitration advocacy. The boundaries set by professional codes of conduct and ethical norms often interfere with legal and societal goals by limiting the amount or quality of information to be discovered, conveyed, or considered in legal settings. However, such interferences are intended and function as protective mechanisms ensuring that information is traded and used fairly in a just legal system [15, 16].

Case Studies and Best Practices in Ethical Communication for Social Justice

Case Study 1: A Day for Indigenous Peoples: This Part of Our Clothing Represents the Beginning of the World Case Study 2: Icebuster D5: National Advertising Campaign to

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Recruit Navajo Crews Case Study 3: Water Warriors: Stopping the Dakota Access Pipeline at the Camp of the Sacred Stones.

The case studies in this symposium highlight information communication strategies that have some evidence of utility in achieving legal outcomes in a variety of cases. Legal disputes covered vary in terms of jurisdiction, controversy, and process. Recommendations for ethical information communication practices for a diverse range of work across the law can be drawn from the case studies. However, legal professionals who advocate for social justice have unique ethical duties to clients and communities that, when pigeonholed into traditional, disciplinarily focused ethical standards, create real challenges to practicing ethically while working for social justice. Innovative approaches taken by lawyers to address these challenges reviewed ethical communication practices illuminate the unique ethics of working at the intersection of social justice and law in these cases [17, 18]. Technological advancements offer new, complex opportunities and challenges related to ethical social justice communication. The case studies challenge academic and professional audiences to apply interdisciplinary learnings to inform and shape ethical social justice communication practice. The lessons in these case studies reflect the wisdom of very different communities: the Duwamish Tribe, the Navajo people, and the Standing Rock Sioux. Each of their stories reveals how knowing your audience and having live dialogue in a feedback loop is the activity of engaging and communicating with every group that will be affected by, and often working against, an adverse outcome of any action. Engaging in this practice may be referred to as “community engagement.” Communicating with your affected audiences tends to avoid pitfalls that are sometimes articulated as making unidirectional informational campaigns, including flies in the divine ointment and the remorse of episodes. Social science literature tells us this: having direct or personal engagement with an audience increases trust, and having no upfront gig helps combat the spiral of silence [19, 20].

Future Directions

This paper has examined some potential extensions of the symposium’s conversation about fostering social justice in an unjust world. It has made the case that fostering ethical communication is one way to help us attain a more just society. Ethical communication can even be seen as a form of social justice in its own right, incorporating accountability, inclusion, and other core values of social justice into the common parlance of communicative interaction. Drawing on examples from feminist legal studies and disability justice, this paper has speculated on several interconnected ways in which ethics, communication, and social justice intersect in the legal domain and vice versa. The informal, preliminary nature of the paper leaves many directions for future exploration. Social conditions change, raising new intersectional justice concerns to integrate into ethical communication and pedagogy. This area could encompass the study and development of different communication practices within established systems of alternative dispute resolution. Ethical communication could provide a framework for an intersectional inquiry into the role of values in law and legal education. This growth, in turn, might exploit the unique resources of the community engagement process that has spawned this conversation. It is time for legal educators, practitioners, judges, and critics to relaunch an affirmative ethic of professional interconnectedness that might be generated from the legal academy. This begins where it often should: with training in ethical communication. By proposing concrete pedagogical and curricular interventions, linked to practices of law and not only to courses about law, faculty, and clinicians can offer strategic plans for training lawyers who understand that ethical communication isn’t just about how to keep bar counsel off your back or

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

signing agreements; ethical communication is also the pathway for achieving just results in the first place [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

This paper emphasizes the critical relationship between social justice and ethical communication within the legal framework. By advocating for transparency, accountability, and culturally informed engagement, ethical communication emerges as both a tool and a manifestation of social justice. Historical and contemporary examples illustrate that equitable legal systems require more than adherence to statutes—they demand a commitment to addressing systemic inequities and fostering trust through principled communication. Future exploration should expand on the pedagogical integration of ethical communication into legal education, equipping practitioners with the tools to navigate complex social justice challenges. This renewed focus on ethical communication is not merely a professional obligation but a pathway to achieving a fairer, more inclusive society. Legal educators, practitioners, and policymakers must collectively champion this approach, ensuring that justice is both practiced and perceived as ethical and equitable.

REFERENCES

1. Atmadja ID. Legal Ideology on Social Justice Perspective. Journal Equity of Law and Governance. 2021 Oct 6;1(2):158-63.
2. Hauptvogel D, Bartels S, Schreckenberg D, Rothmund T. Aircraft noise distribution as a fairness dilemma—A review of aircraft noise through the lens of social justice research. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Jul 11;18(14):7399. mdpi.com
3. Marquart F. Methodological rigor in quantitative research. The international encyclopedia of communication research methods. 2017 Apr 24:1-9.
4. Randall J. “Color-neutral” is not a thing: Redefining construct definition and representation through a justice-oriented critical antiracist lens. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice. 2021 Dec;40(4):82-90.
5. Triebs MS, Papadis E, Cramer H, Tsatsaronis G. Landscape of district heating systems in Germany–Status quo and categorization. Energy Conversion and Management: X. 2021 Mar 1;9:100068. sciencedirect.com
6. Brown DK. Police violence and protests: Digital media maintenance of racism, protest repression, and the status quo. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media. 2021 Jan 1;65(1):157-76.
7. Elk R, Gazaway S. Engaging social justice methods to create palliative care programs that reflect the cultural values of African American patients with serious illness and their families: a path towards health equity. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics. 2021 Jun;49(2):222-30.
8. Garcia Valencia OA, Suppadungsuk S, Thongprayoon C, Miao J, Tangpanithandee S, Craici IM, Cheungpasitporn W. Ethical implications of chatbot utilization in nephrology. Journal of Personalized Medicine. 2023 Sep;13(9):1363. mdpi.com
9. Lee E, Greenblatt A, Hu R, Johnstone M, Kourgiantakis T. Developing a model of broaching and bridging in cross-cultural psychotherapy: Toward fostering epistemic and social justice. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry. 2022;92(3):322. [HTML]
10. Mayes RD, Edirmanasinghe N, Ieva K, Washington AR. Liberatory school counseling practices to promote freedom dreaming for Black youth. InFrontiers in education 2022 Dec 13 (Vol. 7, p. 964490). Frontiers Media SA.
11. Lawson SF. Running for freedom: Civil rights and black politics in America since 1941. John Wiley & Sons; 2014 Nov 10.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

12. Pandey SK, Newcomer K, DeHart-Davis L, McGinnis Johnson J, Riccucci NM. Reckoning with race and gender in public administration and public policy: A substantive social equity turn. Public Administration Review. 2022 May;82(3):386-95. wiley.com
13. Ahmad N, Masum A, Ali AW. Conceptual, Legal, and Ethical Considerations in Physician-Assisted Suicide: An Overview. UUM Journal of Legal Studies. 2024 Jul 30;15(2):707-44.
14. Cardenas D, Correia MI, Ochoa JB, Hardy G, Rodriguez-Ventimilla D, Bermúdez CE, Papapietro K, Hankard R, Briend A, Ungpinitpong W, Zakka KM. Clinical nutrition and human rights. An international position paper. Clinical nutrition. 2021 Jun 1;40(6):4029-36. clinicalnutritionjournal.com
15. Mohammad Amini M, Jesus M, Fanaei Sheikholeslami D, Alves P, Hassanzadeh Benam A, Hariri F. Artificial intelligence ethics and challenges in healthcare applications: a comprehensive review in the context of the European GDPR mandate. Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction. 2023 Aug 7;5(3):1023-35. mdpi.com
16. Bloemraad I, Menjívar C. Precarious times, professional tensions: The ethics of migration research and the drive for scientific accountability. International Migration Review. 2022 Mar;56(1):4-32.11.
17. Sweeney TJ, Kress VE. Professional advocacy: Being allowed to do good. InProfessional counseling excellence through leadership and advocacy 2021 Nov 17 (pp. 77-93). Routledge. [HTML]
18. Hosseinzadegan F, Jasemi M, Habibzadeh H. Factors affecting nurses' impact on social justice in the health system. Nursing ethics. 2021 Feb;28(1):118-30.
19. Zahay ML, Jensen K, Xia Y, Robinson S. The labor of building trust: Traditional and engagement discourses for practicing journalism in a digital age. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly. 2021 Dec;98(4):1041-58. [HTML]
20. Fisher C, Flew T, Park S, Lee JY, Dulleck U. Improving trust in news: Audience solutions. Journalism Practice. 2021 Nov 26;15(10):1497-515.
21. Novitasari D, Riani AL, Suyono J, Harsono M. The moderation role of ethical leadership on organisational justice, professional commitment, and organisational citizenship behaviour among academicians. International Journal of Work Organisation and Emotion. 2021;12(4):303-24. stieww.ac.id
22. Ahmad K, Maabreh M, Ghaly M, Khan K, Qadir J, Al-Fuqaha A. Developing future human-centered smart cities: Critical analysis of smart city security, Data management, and Ethical challenges. Computer Science Review. 2022 Feb 1;43:100452. [HTML]

CITE AS: Mutoni Uwase N. (2025). Exploring the Intersection of Social Justice and Ethical Communication in Law. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited